Louisiana Department of Insurance

CATASTROPHE MODEL INTERROGATORIES

Supplement to Bulletin No. 2013-04

This page intentionally left blank

Bulletin No. 2013-04 June 10, 2013

SECTION INDEX

Section	Page
Part A	1
Part A - Insurer Certification	3
Part A - General Information	4
Part A - the Hurricane Modeling Information	6
Part B	27
Part B - Modeler Certification	29
Part B - General Information	31
Part B - Meteorological Component	37
Part B - Vulnerability Component	40
Part B - Loss Estimates	42
Part B - Model Changes	45
Part B - Sensitivity Tests	50
Part B - Exhibits A through W	51
Appendix A	85
Appendix A – Electronic Format Specification	87

This page intentionally left blank

PART A

(to be completed by the insurance company)

Instructions: Part A, the section labeled Insurer Certification and the section labeled General Information, should be completed by the insurer and must accompany the rate filing that contains rates based, in whole or in part, on any type of catastrophe modeling.

> Part A, section Hurricane Modeling Information only needs to be completed and submitted with a rate filing that contains rates based, in whole or in part, on a hurricane computer model. Part A includes exposure distribution information that should be provided to the LDI in an Excel spreadsheet.

This page intentionally left blank

PART A – INSURER CERTIFICATION

Instructions:	Type or print <u>ex</u>	<u>cept</u> where signat	ure is reque	sted.	
I,	(PRINT PERSON	2C NAMIE)	, he	reby certify that	I am the
	(PRINT PERSON	'S NAME)			
		of			doing business
(PEI	RSON'S TITLE)	(II)	NSURANCE CO	MPANY OR GROUP)
in the State of I	Louisiana and that I	am authorized to m	nake this cert	tificate to the Lo	uisiana Department of
Insurance (LDI	().				
Information and	d, if applicable, Part	-			t A subsection General are true and correct to the
best of my know	wledge.				
This is the(NU	day of	(MONTH)	(YEAR))	
	(SIGNAT)	URE)			
	(INSURANCE COMPA	ANY OR GROUP)			
	(ADDRE	CSS)		-	
	(CITY, STATE,	ZIP CODE)			
	(TELEPH	ONE)		-	
	(EMAI	L)			

PART A – GENERAL INFORMATION

Instructions: This section should be completed by the insurer and must accompany a rate filing that contains rates based, in whole or in part, on any type of catastrophe modeling.

"Qualifications" include, but are not limited to, designations in relevant professional groups, designations in relevant areas of study, model certifications and experience in relevant employment/areas of responsibility.

1.	Filing reference for which modeled output is used:
	Insurance Company or Group:
	Line and/or Sub-Line:
	Filing Reference Number:
2.	Provide a contact in your company responsible <u>for verifying the exposure data</u> .
	Name:
	Qualifications:
	Telephone:
	Email:
	Describe the process used to verify the model input (exposure data) including specific checks performed and validation or reference information used in the process.
3.	Provide a contact in your company responsible for verifying the model output.
	Name:
	Qualifications:
	Telephone:
	Email:

PART A – GENERAL INFORMATION

	< 3. continued>	
	Describe the process used to ver or reference information used in	rify the model output including specific checks performed and validation the process.
1.		l(s) is model output used to establish or support Louisiana insurance that more specific detail regarding the use of hurricane models will be A.)
	☐ Hurricane	□ Hail
	☐ Tropical Storm	□ Flood
	□ Tornado	☐ Terrorism
	☐ Severe Convective Storm	☐ Severe Winter Storm
	☐ Other Wind	☐ Other (specify):
	□ Earthquake	☐ Other (specify):
	☐ Fire Following Earthquake	☐ Other (specify):

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

Instructions: This section should be completed by the insurer and must accompany a rate filing that

contains rates based, in whole or in part, on hurricane modeling. This section is specific to a hurricane model.

If more than one hurricane model's output was used to support the filed rates, this section should be completed for each model, i.e., each combination of question 1 response.

All data distributions requested should be derived from the actual data used as input to the model. To the extent reasonable and particularly for the exposure profile (question 8), an Excel spreadsheet should be provided that contains requested information.

Definitions: Primary Amount of Insurance (AOI) is defined as the coverage A amount

for homeowner policy types, coverage C amount for renter or condominium owner policy types, and aggregate property structure limits (across all structures listed on the policy's declarations page or listed on a

schedule attached to the policy) for commercial policy types.

1.	Whose model did you use	in this filing? (Check one)	
	□ AIR	□□ EQE	
	\square RMS	□□ Other (specify):	
	Model Name		
	Wiodel Name.		
	Release Reference:		
2.	Who ran the model? (Che	ck one)	
	☐ Company (Internally Ru	ın) □ Reinsurer	
	□ Modeler	□ Consultant	
	□ Broker	☐ Other (specify):	

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

3.

Provide the following regarding input and output data for the model:
a. What is the source of exposure data used as input to the model? (Check all that apply)
☐ Data is specific to the company making the rate filing
☐ Data is NOT specific to the company making the rate filing but is specific to the company's
group
☐ Other (specify):
If more than one box above is checked, explain why:
b. What type of exposure data was used as input to the model and as of what date was that data evaluated? (Check one)
\square In-Force: as of $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}/\underline{\hspace{1cm}}/\underline{\hspace{1cm}}/Y$
□ Policy Year: for the Period// through// through//
Enter relevant comments here:
c. At what geographic level of detail was the exposure input data? Provide a percentage distribution based on the primary amount of insurance (AOI), not policy counts:
Structure's Actual Latitude/Longitude%
Structure's Street Address%
Structure's Zip Code%
Structure's Parish%
Other (specify):%
TOTAL (within rounding) 100%

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

	< 3.c. continued >
	If the Structure's "street address" or "zip code" was used, did the company verify that these were for the physical location of the property and not a remote billing address?
	☐ Yes, street address and zip code were verified to be the physical location of the property
	☐ No, street address and zip code were NOT verified to be the physical location of the property
	□ Not known
	☐ Other (specify):
d.	Were loss adjustment expense (LAE) adjustments applied by the filer to modeled output? (Also, refer to 4.d in this section.)
	☐ Yes, LAE adjustments were made to exposure input data where the annualized percent used for LAE was:%
	☐ Yes, LAE adjustments were made to model output data where the annualized percent used for LAE was:%
	□ No, LAE adjustments were NOT made to input or output data.
е.	Were trend adjustments applied by the filer to modeled input or output? (Check all that apply)
	☐ Yes, trend adjustments were made to model input exposure where • the input data was trended to the date of:// and
	• the annualized percent used for trend was:%
	 Yes, trend adjustments were made to model output losses where • the output loss was trended to the date of: // and/
	• the annualized percent used for trend was:%
	☐ No trend adjustments were made to input or output data.

f. Attach relevant printed output produced by the model, e.g., reports with AAL and PML.

identified abo	ve?
If "Yes," prov	vide relevant comments on adjustments here:
Does model o	output include estimates for additional living expense? Yes No
i. Does model o	nutput include estimates for additional fiving expense: 1 Tes 140
If "Yes," how	is additional living expense exposure and loss estimated?
ndicate the setting	ngs that were used for model runs. (Check one for each item)
	ngs that were used for model runs. (Check one for each item)
	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST):
	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST):
	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): □ Long-Term
	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): □ Long-Term □ Medium-Term
. View / Freque	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): Long-Term Medium-Term Near-Term Other-Term (specify):
. View / Freque	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): Long-Term Medium-Term Near-Term Other-Term (specify):
. View / Freque	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): Long-Term Medium-Term Near-Term Other-Term (specify):
. View / Freque	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): Long-Term Medium-Term Near-Term Other-Term (specify): 10K □ 50K □ 100K
. View / Freque	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): Long-Term Medium-Term Near-Term Other-Term (specify): 10K
	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): Long-Term Medium-Term Near-Term Other-Term (specify): 10K
. View / Freque	ency Rate / Sea Surface Temperature (SST): Long-Term Medium-Term Near-Term Other-Term (specify): 10K

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

d. Loss Adjustm	ent Expenses (LAE): \Box Applied <u>by the model</u> to model output
(also, refer to	3.d in this section) Specify LAE as a percent of loss:
	☐ NOT applied by the model to model output
. D 1 C	(also longerous I as Annuli Gradien)
e. Demand Surgo	e (also known as Loss Amplification):
	\Box Off
	□ Not Available
f. Storm Surge:	☐ On but it is not known at what potential/percentage
	□ On At Full Potential (100%)
	□ On but at less than 100% of Full Potential (state percent:%)
	□ Off
	□ Other (Describe):
. .	
g. Relevant com	ments or description of other custom options or non-standard settings used:
this interrogat how each opti run by a third	y of the model analysis options/settings report that generated the model output to which ory applies. This report is a listing of all available user-controlled model options and on was set when the model was run, e.g., Demand Surge was either "on" or "off." If party, this report may be available from the third party. Note that this report may not or every model or model version.
☐ Attached	
☐ Not attache	ed and <u>not</u> available
☐ <u>Available</u> b	out not attached
□ Do not kno	w if such a report is available
<u> </u>	which of the following were accomplished using the referenced hurricane model (see d 2 above; check all that apply)?
□ Generated g□ Generated r	round-up (net of policy deductible) losses for Louisiana round-up (net of policy deductible) losses for territories within Louisiana einsurance recoveries for Louisiana einsurance recoveries for territories within Louisiana

5.

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

b. What allocation method was used to allocate reinsurance costs from a multi-state basis to a Louisiana-specific basis and/or territories within Louisiana (check one):
 □ Used ground-up losses □ Used reinsurance recoveries □ Allocation from a multi-state basis was not applicable in this filing □ Another allocation method was used (Describe):
c. Relevant comments regarding responses to 10.a and b. above:
Is this model the <u>only</u> model used to support the proposed hurricane rates in the company's rate filing? Yes □ No
If "No", provide a detailed explanation, including formulas, regarding how the two (or more) models were combined to support the proposed rates.

6.

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

7.	Property Valuation Method: For 7.a. through 7.c., provide a profile of your property valuation method
	using a percentage distribution (based on AOI, not policy counts). Each row must sum to 100% within
	rounding.

	Replacement	Actual	Method Assumed	
Insured Property	Cost Value	Cash Value	No Value	Total
Building	<u>Cost value</u> %		%	100%
•		%		100%
Appurtenant structure		%	%	
Contents of structure	%	%	%	100%
-				
If applicable, provide a	description of th	ne methodology (used to estimate	e actual cash value:
If applicable, provide a	description of th	ne methodology i	used to estimate	e actual cash value:
If applicable, provide a	description of th	ne methodology (used to estimate	e actual cash value:
If applicable, provide a	description of th	ne methodology	used to estimate	e actual cash value:

8. Input Coding Methods: For each of the following exposure attributes (8.a. through 8.o.) that could be used as input to the hurricane model, provide the input coding method using a percentage distribution based on AOI, not policy counts. Each row must sum to 100% within rounding.

Input Field's Coding Method

Exposure Field	Company Data Used	Company Assumptions <u>Used</u>	Value Unknown (Company Data Available but <u>Not Used)</u>	Value Unknown (No Company <u>Data)</u>	<u>Total</u>
a. Construction	%	%	%	%	100%
b. Occupancy	%	%	%	%	100%
c. Year structure built	%	%	%	%	100%
d. Number of stories	%	%	%	%	100%
e. Age of roof covering	%	%	%	%	100%
f. Roof shape	%	%	%	%	100%
g. Roof covering	%	%	%	%	100%

< 8. Continued >					
h. Secondary water resistance	%	%	%	%	100%
i. Roof cladding attachment	%	%	%	%	100%
j. Roof anchorage	%	%	%	%	100%
k. Window protection	%	%	%	%	100%
1. Tie downs (Manufactured Housing)	%	%	%	%	100%
m. Foundation to wall restraint	%	%	%	%	100%
n. Built to IBHS requirements	%	%	%	%	100%
o. Built to LSUCC requirements	%	%	%	%	100%
Definitions: Company Data Used – Company without modification, to property to provide the company Assumptions Used – Company Assumptions Used – Company Assumptions Used – Company Used this data to company used this data to Value Unknown (Company Data this attribute during the property does not populate the expanull or "unknown" value Unknown (No Company Desposure file is populated IBHS – Institute for Business and LSUCC - Louisiana State Unifor	copulate the company either the attribute ected to determine the Available beolicy underwosure file with a first attribute at a company of the company of the company of the construction of the company of the construction of the construction of the construction of the company of the com	exposure file. her does not content of the exposure file. The exposure file exposure file that Used) for that data; in that data; in the exposure file that data is the exposure file that data; in the exposure file that data is the exposure	collect specific data and or the company collect sessuance process i.e., the exposure collect data on the collect data on the collect data or the	ta on this attri any modified attribute; the ects specific di but the compa file is populat his attribute; i ttribute.	bute the ata on any ted with .e., the
If the column labeled "Company As above (8.a. through 8.o.), provide a exposure file with known values:	_		-	_	

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

< 8.	Continued >	
------	-------------	--

If the column labeled "Value Unknown (Company Data Available but Not Used)" was marked for any of the exposure attributes above (8.a. through 8.o.), provide an explanation why null or "unknown" values were used in the exposure file when company data was available for the exposure attribute:

9. Exposure Profile: The following exposure distributions (9.a. through 9.r.) should be provided to the LDI in an Excel spreadsheet format where each distribution is a separate worksheet and labeled appropriately, e.g., "Geographic Location by Parish." This profile should be derived from the actual data used as input to the hurricane model producing output used as support in this rate filing.

Note that 9.a. requests a percentage distribution based policy counts while 9.b. through 9.r. requests percentage distributions based on AOI.

a. For the following table, **provide a policy count percentage distribution** for each AOI category by policy type.

			Policy Count Distribution								
			Homeowners/	Renter/	Commercial						
<i>P</i>	AOI Categor	<u>y</u>	<u>Dwelling</u>	Condo Owner	Policy Types						
\$0K	< AOI <=	\$25K	%	%	%						
\$25K	< AOI $<=$	\$50K	%	%	%						
\$50K	< AOI $<=$	\$75K	%	%	%						
\$75K	< AOI $<=$	\$100K	%	%	%						
\$100K	< AOI $<=$	\$150K	%	%	%						
\$150K	< AOI $<=$	\$200K	%	%	%						
\$200K	< AOI $<=$	\$250K	%	%	%						
\$250K	< AOI $<=$	\$300K	%	%	%						
\$300K	< AOI $<=$	\$400K	%	%	%						
\$400K	< AOI $<=$	\$500K	%	%	%						
\$500K	< AOI $<=$	\$750K	%	%	%						
\$750K	< AOI $<=$	\$1,000K	%	%	%						
\$1,000K	< AOI $<=$	2,500K	%	%	%						
\$2,500K	< AOI $<=$	5,000K	%	%	%						
\$5,000K	< AOI $<=$	10,000K	%	%	%						
\$10,000K	< AOI $<=$	Unlimited	%	%	%						
Unknown			%	%	%						
TOTAL (v	vithin round	ing)	%	%	%						

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

_	9 ล	continued	>
$\overline{}$	T.a.	Commuca	_

Also, provide the actual dollar value for each of the following AOI categories by policy type.

	Homeowners/	Renter/	Commercial
AOI Category	<u>Dwelling</u>	Condo Owner	Policy Types
Average AOI in Exposure Data Set:	\$	\$	\$
Lowest AOI in Exposure Data Set:	\$	\$	\$
Highest AOI in Exposure Data Set:	\$	\$	\$

b. Geographic Location by Parish¹

For the following parishes (coastal and non-coastal), **provide the aggregated dollars of the AOI** used as input to the model. Table 9.b. categorizes AOI by parish for policy types homeowner/dwelling, renter condominium and commercial. If parish data is not readily available, a distribution by zip code is acceptable (just substitute zip code for parish in the table below).

Definitions: Coastal For the purpose of completing the parish distribution below, "coastal" has the traditional industry definition for Louisiana and approximates each portion of the ten parishes lying south of the Intracoastal Waterway or outside the protective levee system. A more precise description of the "Coastal" areas

for the ten Louisiana coastal parishes follows:

- Cameron That portion of Cameron Parish lying west of Calcasieu Lake, and south of the northern boundary of Sabine Migratory Waterfowl Refuge and the portion east of Calcasieu Lake south of Sweet Lake Canal and Intracoastal Waterway.
- **Iberia** That portion of Iberia parish lying south of Intracoastal Waterway.
- **Jefferson** That portion of Jefferson Parish lying south of Intracoastal Waterway, Algiers canal not Harvey Canal.
- Lafourche That portion of Lafourche parish lying south of Intracoastal Waterway, which includes communities of Larose, Cutoff, Clovelly Farms, Galliano, Golden Meadow & Leeville.
- **Orleans** That portion of Orleans Parish lying outside of the Protective Levee System.

_

¹ In Louisiana, "parish" is equivalent to the "county" designation used in most other states.

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

- Plaquemines That portion of Plaquemines parish lying outside the Protective Levee System of Belle Chasse, Ollie, Scarsdale, Braithwaite and Belair Drainage Districts, which includes the communities of Ironton, Myrtle Grove, Diamon, Happy Jack, Potash, Port Sulphur, Homeplace, Narin, Empire, Buras, Triumph, Boothville, Venice, Pilottown, Ostrica, Bohemia, Pointe a La Hache, Davant, Phoenix and Carlisle.
- **St. Bernard** That portion of St. Bernard parish lying outside the Protective Levee System which includes communities of Reggio, Delacroix, Alluvial, Yscloskey, Shell Beach and Hopedale.
- **St. Mary** That portion of St. Mary Parish lying south of the Intracoastal Waterway.
- **Terrebonne** That portion of Terrebonne Parish lying south of Intracoastal Waterway, except areas within city limits of Houma which includes communities of Ashland, Boudreaux, Chauvin, Cocodrie, Crozier, Dulac, Lapeyrouse, Montegut, Mulberry, Point Barre, Sunrise and Theriot.
- **Vermilion** That portion of Vermilion parish lying south of the Intracoastal Waterway.

Non-Coastal: For the purposes of completing the parish distribution below, the ten "non-coastal" parishes are defined as each part of the parish which is not "coastal."

Distribution of AOI by Parish										
Parish	Homeowner/ Dwelling Owner	Renter/ Condominium Owner	Commercial Policy Types							
Acadia	\$	\$	\$							
Allen	\$	\$	\$							
Ascension	\$	\$	\$							
Assumption	\$	\$	\$							
Avoyelles	\$	\$	\$							
Beauregard	\$	\$	\$							
Bienville	\$	\$	\$							
Bossier	\$	\$	\$							
Caddo	\$	\$	\$							
Calcasieu	\$	\$	\$							
Caldwell	\$	\$	\$							
Cameron (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$							
Catahoula	\$	\$	\$							
Claiborne	\$	\$	\$							

Distribution of AOI by Parish										
Parish	Homeowner/ Dwelling Owner	Renter/ Condominium Owner	Commercial Policy Types							
Concordia	\$	\$	\$							
De Soto	\$	\$	\$							
East Baton Rouge	\$	\$	\$							
East Carroll	\$	\$	\$							
East Feliciana	\$	\$	\$							
Evangeline	\$	\$	\$							
Franklin	\$	\$	\$							
Grant	\$	\$	\$							
Iberia (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$							
Iberville	\$	\$	\$							
Jackson	\$	\$	\$							
Jefferson (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$							
Jefferson Davis	\$	\$	\$							
Lafayette	\$	\$	\$							
Lafourche (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$							
La Salle	\$	\$	\$							
Lincoln	\$	\$	\$							
Livingston	\$	\$	\$							
Madison	\$	\$	\$							
Morehouse	\$	\$	\$							
Natchitoches	\$	\$	\$							
Orleans (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$							
Ouachita	\$	\$	\$							
Plaquemines (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$							
Pointe Coupee	\$	\$	\$							
Rapides	\$	\$	\$							
Red River	\$	\$	\$							
Richland	\$	\$	\$							
Sabine	\$	\$	\$							
St. Bernard (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$							
St. Charles	\$	\$	\$							
St. Helena	\$	\$	\$							
St. James	\$	\$	\$							
St. John The Baptist	\$	\$	\$							
St. Landry	\$	\$	\$							
St. Martin	\$	\$	\$							
St. Mary (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$							
St. Tammany	\$	\$	\$							
Tangipahoa	\$	\$	\$							
Tensas	\$	\$	\$							

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

Distribution of AOI by Parish									
Parish	Homeowner/ Dwelling Owner	Renter/ Condominium Owner	Commercial Policy Types						
Terrebonne (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
Union	\$	\$	\$						
Vermilion (Non-Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
Vernon	\$	\$	\$						
Washington	\$	\$	\$						
Webster	\$	\$	\$						
West Baton Rouge	\$	\$	\$						
West Carroll	\$	\$	\$						
West Feliciana	\$	\$	\$						
Winn	\$	\$	\$						
Cameron (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
Iberia (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
Jefferson (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
Lafourche (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
Orleans (Coastal)									
Plaquemines (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
St. Bernard (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
St. Mary (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
Terrebonne (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
Vermilion (Coastal)	\$	\$	\$						
PARISH UNKNOWN	\$	\$	\$						
TOTALS	\$	\$	\$						

For each of the following exposure attributes (9.c. through 9.r.), profile the data used as input to the hurricane model by using a percentage distribution (based on AOI, not policy counts) for the listed attributes. If you use additional/other categories, list them as needed.

c. Identify Ex-Wind policies in your input data:

Policies with combined wind and other peril coverage	%
Policies with wind-only coverage	%
Policies with other peril coverage but excluding wind	%
Total (within rounding)	100%

d. Policy Deductible:

For each of the all peril deductible and special hurricane/named storm/wind and hail deductible combinations in **Matrix 9.d.**, provide a percentage distribution based on AOI (not policy counts) used as input to the model. If you use deductible categories not listed, add them as needed.

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

< 9.d. continued >

If the all peril deductible and the special hurricane/named storm/wind and hail deductible are the same, slot the all peril deductible in the appropriate category but categorize the special hurricane/named storm/wind and hail deductible as "None (0)." If there are other coverage/peril specific deductibles on the policy, for example a \$100 all peril deductible is paired with a higher \$250 theft deductible, ignore the specific coverage/peril deductible (in this case the theft deductible) and use the broader all peril deductible to categorize the exposure in the matrix column labeled "Policy All Peril Deductible". If there are multiple wind-specific deductibles impacting wind loss, for example a \$2,500 wind and hail deductible with a 5% hurricane deductible, use the higher of the policy's wind-specific deductibles to categorize the exposure in the matrix columns under the label "Policy Hurricane/Named Storm/Wind & Hail Deductible."

The matrix total should sum to 100% within rounding.

Matrix 9.d.	Special Policy Hurricane / Named Storm / Wind & Hail Deductible														le								
Policy All Peril Deductible	None (\$0)	\$250	\$500	\$1,000	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$2,500	\$3,000	\$4,000	\$5,000	\$10,000	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%	10%	15%	Other 1 (specify)	Other 2 (specify)	Other 3 (specify)	Unknown	Row Totals
None (\$0)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
\$100	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
\$250	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
\$500	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
\$1,000	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
\$2,000	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
\$2,500	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
\$5,000	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
\$10,000	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
1/20/0	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
1%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
2%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
3%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
4%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
5%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
10%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
15%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Other 1 (specify)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Other 2 (specify)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Other 3 (specify)	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Unknown	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Column Totals	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	100%

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

e.	Construction:	
	Wood frame	%
	Wood frame with masonry veneer	%
	Unreinforced masonry	%
	Reinforced masonry	%
	Masonry (unspecified)	%
	Concrete	%
	Steel	%
	Light metal	%
	Mobile Home (unknown tie-down)	%
	Mobile Home (with tie-down)	%
	Mobile Home (no tie-down)	%
	Other 1:	%
	Other 2:	%
	Other 3:	%
	Unknown	%
	Total (within rounding)	100%
	Owner occupied structure: Single-family dwelling Multi-family dwelling	% %
	Condominium	%
	Mobile Home	%
	Other 1:	%
	Unknown	%
	Subtotal for 9.f.1)	%
	2) Non-owner occupied structure:	
	Single-family dwelling	%
	Multi-family dwelling	%
	Condominium	%
	Mobile Home	%
	Other 1:	%
	Unknown	 %
	0.11.00.00	

Subtotal for 9.f.2)

3)	Renter (no structure, contents only):	
	Single-family dwelling	%
	Multi-family dwelling	
	Condominium	
	Mobile Home	
	Other 1:	%
	Unknown	
	Subtotal for 9.f.3)	
	Subtotal 101 7.1.3)	
4)	Commercial structure:	
	Business	%
	Farm	%
	Other 1:	%
	Other 2:	%
	Other 3:	%
	Unknown	%
	Subtotal for 9.f.4)	%
3)	Other occupancy categories (but not under Single-family dwelling Multi-family dwelling Condominium	nknown): % %
	Mobile Home	%
	Other 1:	%
	Unknown	%
	Subtotal for 9.f.5)	%
6)	Occupancy Unknown:	
	Single-family dwelling	%
	Multi-family dwelling	%
	Condominium	%
	Mobile Home	%
	Other 1:	%
	Unknown	%
	Subtotal for 9.f.6)	%
	Total 9.f.1)–9.f.6) within rounding	100 %

g.	Year structure built:		
	<= 1900	%	
	1901	 %	
	1902	 %	
	1903	%	
	1904	 %	
	•		
	•		
	2009	%	
	2010	%	
	2011	%	
	2012	%	
	2013	%	
	2014	%	
	2015	%	
	•		
	• Unknown	%	
		100%	
	Total (within rounding)	100%	
h.	Number of stories:		
	1	%	
	2	 %	
	3	<u></u> %	
	4 to 7	 %	
	8 to 14	 %	
	15+	 %	
	Unknown	<u></u> %	
	Total (within rounding)	100%	
i.	Age of Roof Covering:		
	0 to 5 years	%	
	6 to 10 years	 ,°	
	11 to 15 years	 %	
	16 to 20 years	 %	
	More than 20 years	 ,~	
	Unknown	 ,~	
	Total (within rounding)	100%	
	(- * * * *	

PART A – HURRICANE MODELING INFORMATION

j.	Roof shape:	
	Unbraced gable	%
	Braced gable	%
	Total hip (entire roof structure)	%
	Partial hip (at least 50% hip roof)	%
	Mansard	%
	Gambrel	%
	Flat	%
	Shed	%
	Complex	%
	Other 1:	%
	Other 2:	%
	Other 3:	%
	Unknown	%
	Total (within rounding)	100%
k.	Roof covering type:	
	Normal asphalt shingles	%
	Wind resistance rated shingles*	 %
	Concrete or clay tiles (not rated)	 %
	Wind resistance rated	
	concrete or clay tiles	%
	Wood shingles	%
	Built-up roof	 %
	Single-ply membrane	%
	Slate	%
	Concrete	<u></u> %
	Metal panels or sheathing	%
	Other 1:	%
	Other 2:	%
	Other 3:	%
	Unknown	 %
	Total (within rounding)	100%

NOTES: * Passed either ASTM D3161 or ASTM D7158

Secondary water resistance:	
None	%
Self-adhering strips on top of roof deck	%
Spray foam on underside of roof deck	%
Continuous sheets on top of roof deck	%
Other 1:	%
	%
Other 3:	%
Unknown	%
Total (within rounding)	100%
Roof cladding attachment:	
6d nails w/ unknown nailing schedule	%
6d nails @ high wind nailing schedule	
(typically 4" panel edges, 6" interior)	%
8d nails w/ unknown nailing schedule	
8d nails @ high wind nailing schedule	
(typically 4" panel edges, 6" interior)	%
10d nails w/ unknown nailing schedule	%
10d nails @ high wind nailing schedule	
(typically 4" panel edges, 6" interior)	%
Other 1:	%
	%
Other 3:	%
Unknown	%
Total (within rounding)	100%
Roof anchorage (roof to wall connection):	
None (gravity/friction)	%
Toe nailing	%
Adhesive epoxy	%
Anchor bolts	%
Clips (not wind resistance rated)	%
Single wraps (not wind resistance rated)	%
Double wraps (not wind resistance rated)	%
Wind rated hurricane ties/straps	%
Other 1:	%
Other 2:	%
Other 3:	%
Unknown	%
Total (within rounding)	100%
	Self-adhering strips on top of roof deck Spray foam on underside of roof deck Continuous sheets on top of roof deck Other 1: Other 2: Unknown Total (within rounding) Roof cladding attachment: 6d nails w/ unknown nailing schedule 6d nails @ high wind nailing schedule (typically 4" panel edges, 6" interior) 8d nails w/ unknown nailing schedule (typically 4" panel edges, 6" interior) 10d nails w/ unknown nailing schedule (typically 4" panel edges, 6" interior) 10d nails w/ unknown nailing schedule (typically 4" panel edges, 6" interior) Other 1: Other 2: Other 3: Unknown Total (within rounding) Roof anchorage (roof to wall connection): None (gravity/friction) Toe nailing Adhesive epoxy Anchor bolts Clips (not wind resistance rated) Single wraps (not wind resistance rated) Double wraps (not wind resistance rated) Wind rated hurricane ties/straps Other 1: Other 2: Other 3: Unknown

0.	Window protection:	
	None Temporary shutters (plywood or OSB) Non-engineered shutter	% % %
	Wind resistance rated shutter*	
	Impact resistant glass*	
	Other 1:	
	Other 2:	
	Other 3:	<u></u>
	Unknown	<u></u> %
	Total (within rounding)	100%
	* Passed one of the following cyclic loadin (ASTM E 1886 and ASTM E 1996) or (N	
p.	Foundation to wall restraint:	
	None (Gravity/Friction)	%
	Nails/Screws	
	Straps or ties (not wind resistance rated)	%
	Anchor bolts (not wind resistance rated)	%
	Restraints consistent with LSUCC	%
	Other 1:	%
	Other 2:	%
	Other 3:	%
	Total (within rounding)	100%
q.	Built to IBHS fortified requirements or state	building code:
	None	%
	Hurricane fortified bronze	%
	Hurricane fortified silver	%
	Hurricane fortified gold	%
	Fortified for safer living hurricane	%
	Louisiana state uniform construction code	%
	Other 1:	%
	Other 2:	%
	Other 3:	%
	Unknown	%
	Total (within rounding)	100%

r.	r. Tie-downs (note: mobile homes are also known as "manufactured structures"):				
	NOT a manufactured structure	%			
	Manufactured structure with tie-downs	%			
	Manufactured structure without tie-downs	%			
	Unknown	%			
	Total (within rounding)	100%			

PART B

(to be completed by the modeling company)

Instructions: Part B applies only to a model estimating hurricane loss. Part B should be completed by the modeler and must already be on file with the LDI or accompany a rate filing that contains rates based, in whole or in part, on hurricane computer modeling.

> When preparing any of the loss analyses requested in Part B, unless stated otherwise, the results should be calculated as baseline loss estimates in which analysis settings are "Off" or in a standard setting, including Demand Surge (i.e., Loss Amplification) set to "Off," Storm Surge set to "Off,", uses 50K or Standard Catalog Size, Loss Adjustment Expense set to "Off,", and uses Long-Term Frequency Rates.

This page intentionally left blank

PART B - MODELER CERTIFICATION

Instructions: Type or print <u>except</u> where signature is requested. This certification only attests to Catastrophe Model Interrogatories, Part B responses.

I,		, hereby certify	that I am the
(PRIN	T NAME)		
(PRINT TITLE)	of	PRINT MODEL COMPANY)	and that I am authorized
to make this certificate. I here to the LDI's Catastrophe Mod	eby certify to the Lo	ouisiana Department of Insur	ance (LDI) that my responses
The model for which this cert	ificate applies is ide	entified as:	
Name of Model:			
Model Release Reference:			
Date of Model Release or Las	t Revised:	//	
Stochastic Catalog Reference	(e.g.: Catalog Size, Ne	ear Term or Long Term, etc.)	
This is the day o	f(MONTH)	(YEAR)	
	(SIGNATURE)		
	(ADDRESS)		
(CITY	STATE, ZIP CODE)		
	(EMAIL)		
	TELEPHONE)		

PART B - MODELER CERTIFICATION

Describe how the reasonability of model output was verified. Include specific checks that were performed and validation data sources used in the analysis.

(EMAIL)

(TELEPHONE)

PART B – GENERAL INFORMATION

Instructions:

When preparing the loss analyses requested in Part B, unless stated otherwise the results should be calculated as baseline loss estimates in which analysis settings are "Off" or in a standard setting, including Demand Surge (i.e., Loss Amplification) set to "Off," Storm Surge set to "Off,", uses 50K or Standard Catalog Size, Loss Adjustment Expense set to "Off,", and uses Long-Term Frequency Rates. If available, provide a copy of the model analysis options/settings report used to generate the model output.

- 1. List the names of up to three key technical staff and indicate which component they worked on. For model update submissions, list up to three key technical staff that participated in the update. Use the format of **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B**. If the modeler does not provide contact information for all key technical contributors, provide at least one overall contributor (per component) for this purpose.
- 2. A model is a commercial software application. As such, please respond to the following: a. □ Yes \square No Has a "requirements document" been written for this model? b. □ Yes \square No Has a "specification document" been written for this model? c. \square Yes \square No Has a "user's guide" been published to aid clients in using or running d. □ Yes \square No Has a "test specification" been written for this model? e. □ Yes \square No Has the model's software code been tested? f. \square Yes \square No Have revisions or corrections to the model's software code been made since the model was first made available to your clients? If "Yes," continue with i. through v. below. Explain the process by which model revisions or corrections are identified, reported, and coded. ii. Explain how revised releases of the model are released to clients.

PART B – GENERAL INFORMATION

	11	output	in how a client would recognize which model release produced specific model		
iv		Z. Explain output.	n how a client would know the exact model settings used to prod	duce specific model	
	V	clients	e the historical release and revision summary for the model sinc. Use the report format of Exhibit C .		
g.	In w	hat year v	was the model first used in ratemaking? (approx (YEAR)	imately)	
h.	□ Y	es □ N	No Has the model been reviewed by any other state insurance	department?	
	If	"Yes," li	ist the states and the reviewer.		
		STATE	<u>REVIEWER</u>	YEAR REVIEWED	
3.□□	Yes	□ No	Has the current model version been independently peer review involved in the development of or any update to the model? I documentation for the two most recent peer reviews relevant to version and complete Exhibit D for all peer reviews.	f so, attach	
	Yes	□ No	Are there any unresolved or outstanding issues resulting from explain each.	these reviews? Please	

PART B – GENERAL INFORMATION

4. The following interrogatories explore whether the model is capable of addressing and adjusting loss estimates for specific insurance considerations. A "Yes" response does not mean that a specific model run actually adjusted for the item but does mean that the model could have, if given data coded for the item, adjusted for the item.

If the model release to which these interrogatories apply (the "current model" release) is a revision to the last model release previously filed with the LDI (the "last model" release), indicate if the current model includes changes attributable to the listed consideration by putting a "Yes" in the "Changed Since Last Submission?" box next to the consideration.

		Changed		
		Since Last	Is the current version of the hurricane model capable of adjusting estimated	
Yes	No	Submission?	hurricane loss due to or in consideration of	
			The stated policy amount of insurance for the structure?	
			The structure's contents?	
			Appurtenant structure loss?	
			Additional living expense loss?	
			Business interruption loss?	
			All peril policy deductibles?	
			Differences in construction materials, e.g., frame vs. masonry vs. other types?	
			Manufactured home construction (e.g., mobile home)?	
			Separate wind, hurricane or named storm deductibles?	
			The effect on expected loss due to existing or proposed reinsurance contracts?	
			Coverage differences for various homeowner policy forms, e.g., HO-1 vs. HO-3?	
			Coverage differences for various dwelling fire/EC forms, e.g., DW-1 vs. DW-3?	
			Condominium policy form?	
			Renter policy form?	
			Mobile home/manufactured home policy forms?	
			Business owner's policy forms?	
			Farm owner's policy forms?	
			Other commercial property policy forms?	
			Flood loss covered by the National Flood Insurance Program?	
			Flood loss not covered by the National Flood Insurance Program?	
			Personal inland marine policy forms, floaters, endorsements, or schedules?	
			Commercial inland marine policy forms, floaters, endorsements, or schedules?	
			Boat policy forms?	
			Personal automobile policy forms?	
			Commercial automobile policy forms?	
			Multi-story structures?	
			Loss attributable to underinsured structures?	
			Loss attributable to over-insured structures?	
			Loss attributable to public structures?	
			Non-property loss, e.g., liability, life, health, workers' compensation?	

PART B – GENERAL INFORMATION

< 4. continued >

		Changed	
Yes	No	Since Last Submission?	Is the current version of the hurricane model capable of adjusting estimated hurricane loss due to or in consideration of
163	INO	300111331011;	Loss attributable to industry pools, e.g. FAIR Plan or Coastal Plan? If these losses
			can be calculated and allocated to a third party client's estimated loss, e.g., as an
			assessment, explain how it is included:
			The impact of any loss mitigation measures? If "Yes," list specific mitigation
			measures the current model can take into account that impacts estimated loss,
			e.g., hurricane shutters or mobile home tie downs:
			A structure built to IBHS standards?
			A structure built to Louisiana Uniform Construction Code (LSUCC) standards?
			Demand Surge (or Loss Amplification), i.e., an increase in construction costs due to
			temporary increased demand for limited construction resources? If "Yes," explain
			how Demand Surge is included:
			Risk, e.g., a load added to estimated loss based on loss variance calculations or the
			need to attract risk capital? If "Yes," explain how this is included:
			Cash value policy provisions? If "Yes," please explain how this is handled:
			Replacement cost policy provisions? If "Yes," please explain how this is handled :
			Is the client's actual exposure profile always used in the determination of modeled
			loss costs? If "No," explain the alternate source of exposure underlying modeled
			output:

PART B – GENERAL INFORMATION

< 4. continued >

		Changed			
Voc	No	Since Last Submission?	Is the current version of the hurricane model capable of adjusting estimated hurricane loss due to or in consideration of		
Yes	No	Submission?	Does the model project exposure data to a future policy period? If "Yes," to what		
			period was data projected?/ (MM/DD/YY). And, if "Yes," explain		
			how this projection is made:		
			Does the model project loss or expense data to a future policy period? If "Yes," to what period was data projected?// (MM/DD/YY) And if "Yes," explain		
			how this projection is made:		
			Can model output include provisions for loss adjustment expense? If "Yes," select from the following:		
			nom die following.		
			All LAE ALAE only ULAE only		
			Evalaia hauranu I AE is included in the modeled autout.		
			Explain how any LAE is included in the modeled output:		
5. Id	entif	y the current 7	Zip Code database used by the model including the effective (official United		
		y the current z Postal Service	·		
50		1 00001 001 1100	, auto.		
	(2	Zip Code Datal	base Name) (Effective Date)		
<i>c</i> ¬					
о. ⊔	5. □ Yes □ No Are Zip Code centroids population-weighted?				
a.	a. If "Yes," describe the process used to calculate the population-weighted centroids.				
	r-F				

PART B – GENERAL INFORMATION

b.	If "Yes," describe the process used to validate the centroid information.	
		_
		_

- 7. Provide an overview of model operation including each component of the model used to estimate loss costs in the state of Louisiana. For an initial model submission the overview should include a description of the methodology for each model component. During model updates this overview should clearly indicate which components and methodologies remain unchanged, and explicitly define which components and methodologies have been modified.
- 8. List and describe the variables and assumptions that support each model component. List data sources for these variables. For model updates, identify every component and variable that has been modified in a way that will result in a change to statewide zero deductible loss costs. For components and/or variables that have been modified, give specific descriptions of the change to the methodology and the data supporting the change. Use **Exhibit E**.

PART B - METEOROLOGICAL COMPONENT

Instructions: For reference in this section, coordinates used to define the state of Louisiana are provided as a note in Exhibit G.

1. a. List the historical storms making landfall in the state of Louisiana you used in estimating hurricane losses in Louisiana. Use the format of **Exhibit F**. b. List source(s) for each parameter for the historical storms in **Exhibit F**. If this is an update, highlight any new storms or storm parameters that have changed since the last submission. 2. Provide annual frequencies for historical storms affecting Louisiana and modeled storms in the format of **Exhibit G**. Assign Saffir-Simpson category based on wind speed. 3. For the following storm parameters, as they relate to Louisiana landfalls, provide summary data for stochastic storm parameter values. If a specified parameter is not applicable to your model, explain and/or provide summary data for an appropriate surrogate parameter. Graphs of the data will be helpful. a. Table of central pressures, (or pressure differences). Use the format of **Exhibit H**. ☐ Yes ☐ No Does the model contain a minimum central pressure for a Louisiana landfall? If "Yes," what is the minimum central pressure? ☐ Yes ☐ No Does the model contain a maximum central pressure for a Louisiana landfall? If "Yes," what is the maximum central pressure? b. Table of radius of maximum winds. Use the format of **Exhibit I**. i. \square Yes \square No Does the model contain a minimum radius of maximum winds for a Louisiana landfall? If "Yes," what is the minimum radius of maximum winds? miles ii. □ Yes □ No Does the model contain a maximum radius of maximum winds for a Louisiana

miles

landfall?

If "Yes," what is the maximum radius of maximum winds?

PART B – METEOROLOGICAL COMPONENT

	c.	Tab	le of for	ward spec	eeds. Use the format of Exhibit J .	
		i.	□ Yes	□ No	Does the model contain a minimum forward speed for a Louisiana landfall?	
			If "Y	es," wha	at is the minimum forward speed?mph	
		ii.	□ Yes	□ No	Does the model contain a maximum forward speed for a Louisiana landfall?	
			If "Ye	es," what	at is the maximum forward speed?mph	
	d.	Tab	le of ma	x wind sp	speeds over land. Use the format of Exhibit K .	
			Yes □	No D	Does the model contain a max WS over land for a Louisiana landfall?	
		I	f "Yes,"	what is	the max WS over land?mph	
	e.	follo mpl	owing pa n. If mod	rameters el decay	of the model decay rates over constant average land roughness based on the s: forward speed -14 mph; Rmax -25 statute mi; max wind speed at LF -140 rates are determined stochastically, complete the exhibit for the mean, 10^{th} , and the format of Exhibit L .	
4.		Yes	□ No	Are g	gust factors used in the model? If "Yes," describe how and cite relevant sources	3.
5.		Yes	□ No	Are to	terrain factors used in the model? If "Yes," describe how and cite relevant ces.	

PART B - METEOROLOGICAL COMPONENT

6. a. For the stochastic storm set provide on CD-ROM a completed **Exhibit M** containing the 100 year 1-minute sustained wind speed by zip code for modeled open terrain and modeled actual terrain.

Provide color maps of the wind speeds reported in **Exhibit M** using the following bins and colors (slight variation in colors is acceptable):

Modeled 100 Year 1-Minute Sustained Wind Speed	
	<50 mph
	51 to 65 mph
	66 to 80 mph
	81 to 95 mph
	96 to 110 mph
	111 to 125 mph
	126 to 140 mph
	>140 mph

b. Identify the maximum wind speed plotted on each map and plot the location.

Note: Modeled "actual" terrain is the surface roughness utilized in the vendor model. Modeled "open" terrain corresponds to utilizing a constant roughness value of 0.03 meters at all locations.

PART B – VULNERABILITY COMPONENT

Instructions: This section investigates the vulnerability component including the modeled impact due to mitigation measures.

1.	a.	ZIP code wind speeds have been provided for a hypothetical track in the file LDI_Track_2013.xlsx. Assume the wind speeds provided are one-minute sustained 10-meter wind speeds that apply to the population centroid for each ZIP code. Do not make any corrections or adjustments to the wind speeds provided to account for surface roughness. Model the representative structure types identified in the LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx file against these wind speeds and complete the table in Exhibit N . Provide the ground-up loss estimates for building coverage only; do not include contents, appurtenant structures, or time element coverages.
	b.	If it is necessary to adjust the averaging time of the wind speeds provided, please describe the process used to adjust the wind speeds. Include a representative calculation that documents the adjustments made.

- 2. a. Describe how mitigation is implemented in the model. List the mitigation factors relevant for Louisiana properties. Identify sources that were used to set the mitigation factors and show data that supports the factors.
 - b. Describe how the combination of multiple mitigation factors is calculated, and how any potential over- or under-estimation is addressed.
 - c. Provide a completed **Exhibit O**, **Table 1** (Impact of Mitigation Features) using the full stochastic event set. The impact of each individual mitigation feature shall be calculated in isolation and recorded as a percent change in ground-up expected loss from the reference structure. Assume the reference structure is located at the latitude and longitude coordinates provided in **Exhibit O**, **Table 2**. Calculate the percent change for a structure certified as an IBHS Fortified for Safer Living home, for each year built bin represented in the model, and for the three mitigation factors that yield the greatest reduction in loss in the model.

For the final row in **Exhibit O, Table 1**, labeled "Mitigation Measures in Combination That Produce Largest Reduction," report the maximum possible mitigation impact for an individual property; assume the property has been fully mitigated with all available individual mitigation features.

PART B – VULNERABILITY COMPONENT

< 2.c. continued >

In **Exhibit O, Table 1**, the percent change shall be calculated for building coverage only on a ground-up basis.

Use the reference structures defined in the table below:

Reference Frame Structure	Reference Masonry Structure
Occupancy: Single Family Home	Occupancy: Single Family Home
Building Replacement Value: \$200,000	Building Replacement Value: \$200,000
One Story	One Story
Unbraced Gable End Roof	Unbraced Gable End Roof
Standard Shingles	Standard Shingles
1/2" Plywood Deck	1/2" Plywood Deck
6d Nails, Deck to Roof Members	6d Nails, Deck to Roof Members
Toe Nail Truss to Wall Anchor	Toe Nail Truss to Wall Anchor
5/8" diameter anchors at 48" Centers for Wall/Floor/Foundation Corrections	No Vertical Wall Reinforcing
No Storm Shutters	No Storm Shutters
Standard Exterior Windows	Standard Exterior Windows
Standard Exterior Doors	Standard Exterior Doors
Standard Skylights	Standard Skylights
Constructed in 1980	Constructed in 1980

PART B – LOSS ESTIMATES

Instructions: This section investigates the setting of model formulas, parameters, and analysis. 1. a. \square Yes \square No Does the model produce a confidence interval for loss costs that includes parameter and process risk? b. \square Yes \square No Is the output visible to the user? i. If "Yes," explain how this interval is calculated. ii. If "Yes," what is the 95% confidence interval for the Louisiana statewide AAL, based on a homeowners wood frame zero deductible loss using the provided LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx exposure database? 2. a. Are model formulas, parameters, and analysis settings the same for all clients or can these be altered depending on client need? ☐ Constant (the same for all clients) □ Can be Altered b. If formulas, parameters, or analysis settings can be altered, show the impact to Louisiana's statewide homeowners, wood frame and zero deductible loss according to Exhibit P using the provided LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx exposure database. In completing **Exhibit P** the change in loss should be computed to baseline loss estimates in which analysis settings are "Off" or in a standard setting, including Demand Surge (i.e., Loss Amplification) Off, Storm Surge Off, 10K or Standard Catalog Size, Loss Adjustment Expense Off, and Long-Term Frequency Rates. c. Explain and show the AAL, 5%, 2%, and 1% exceedance probability loss estimates for any other settings that can be changed not in the list provided in **Exhibit P**.

PART B – LOSS ESTIMATES

d.	How does the model record if the settings are "on" or "off"?				

3. a. Using the standard Louisiana Department of Insurance exposure set provided in the file LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx, calculate modeled loss costs per \$1000 of exposure for the homeowners frame, homeowners masonry, renters frame, renters masonry, condominium frame, condominium masonry, mobile home, and commercial residential using the format of **Exhibit Q.1** through **Exhibit Q.8** respectively.

For homeowners, mobile home and commercial residential risks, the loss cost per \$1,000 is calculated based on the Coverage A limit. For renters and condominium owners risks the loss cost per \$1,000 is calculated based on the Coverage C limit.

b. Provide maps of the homeowners frame, homeowners masonry, and mobile home \$0 deductible structure loss costs per \$1,000 of exposure (see a. above) by ZIP code using the following bins and colors (slight variation in colors is acceptable):

Loss Cost per \$1,000 of Exposure		
	6 or greater	
	5 to <6	
	4 to <5	
	3 to <4	
	2 to <3	
	1 to <1	
	less than 1	
	N/A	

4. The Louisiana Department of Insurance has identified a standard historical event set in Exhibit R for storms making landfall from 1900 to 2012 in Louisiana. Provide modeled property loss estimates for the hurricanes listed in Exhibit R using the LDI standard exposures provided in the file LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx. For each hurricane listed in Report R, report gross losses for all coverages combined on Exhibit S and Exhibit T. Report in hardcopy and on CD-ROM. Assume a 2% deductible applies for each risk.

PART B – LOSS ESTIMATES

< 4. continued >
If you find that there are historical storms making a Louisiana landfall not listed in Exhibit R , provide information about them here (but <u>do not include them</u> in your estimates for Exhibit S and Exhibit T):

5. Provide modeled Louisiana gross return period loss statistics using the format of **Exhibit U**, **Table 1**. Use the standard LDI exposure data provided in the file LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx and assume a 2% deductible applies for each risk to calculate gross losses. For **Exhibit U**, **Table 2**, quantify the impact of the listed deductible scenarios on the statewide average annual loss estimate by calculating loss elimination ratios. Use the standard LDI exposure data provided in the file LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx and assume each risk has the listed deductible for each scenario.

Note: Provide losses only for exposures in Louisiana only, regardless of landfall.

PART B - MODEL CHANGES

Instructions: This section addresses the reason a revised model release took place, i.e., why the model software changed in some manner. This section should be completed even if the revisions are viewed as trivial.

- 1. Identify which model components have been changed since the previous submission and quantify the impact of the change by component on the standard Louisiana Department of Insurance exposure set LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx. Use **Exhibit V** to display the differences between the previously filed (in Louisiana) model version's and this current model version's average annual loss costs, for the HO frame, zero deductible exposure.
- 2. Provide color-coded maps by Parish reflecting the percentage difference in average annual homeowners frame, zero deductible, parish loss costs for each model component change, using the following bins and colors (slight variation in colors is acceptable):

Percentage Difference in Average Annual Parish Loss Costs	
	-40% or less
	-20% to > -40%
	-10% to > -20%
	0% to > -10%
	+10% to > 0%
	+20% to > +10%
	+40% to > +20%
	+100% to > +40%
	greater than +100%

- 3. Provide a description of each change that affects the personal or commercial residential loss costs or probable maximum loss estimates, including:
 - a. Provide the rationale for each change. Use the model components of **Exhibit V** as reference in your comments:

i. Zip Codes: □ Changed □ Did NOT change	

ii. Hazard Modu	ile: □ Changed □ Did NOT change
iii. Vulnerability	Module: □ Changed □ Did NOT change
iv. Financial Mo	dule: □ Changed □ Did NOT change
	data that supports each change, including quantification of the amount of data that es to the state of Louisiana. Use the model components of Exhibit V as reference ts:
i. Zip Codes:	

ii.	Hazard Modu	le:
iii.	Vulnerability	Module:
iv.	Financial Mod	dule:
the	amount of "ne	unt of data specific to each change that was utilized in the previous submission, and ew" data that became available and was used to support changes in this model model components of Exhibit V as reference in your comments:
i.	Zip Codes:	
	,	

	ii. Hazard Modu	le:
i	ii. Vulnerability	Module:
i	v. Financial Mo	dule:
	data used to development with the LDI) and	ata used to develop, validate or support this current model version, describe how lop, validate or support the previous model version (i.e., the last model version filed I new data were incorporated/combined to develop, validate or support this current see the model compared of Exhibit V as reference in your compared.
	i. Zip Codes:	se the model components of Exhibit V as reference in your comments:
	1. Zip Codes.	

ii.	Hazard Modu	ıle:
iii.	Vulnerability	Module:
iv.	Financial Mo	

PART B - SENSITIVITY TESTS

Instructions: This section measures the sensitivity of modeled results due to differences in terrain roughness.

Provide the impact of terrain factors on grid location expected loss. Provide ground-up expected loss estimates for both modeled open terrain and modeled actual terrain for the Homeowners Wood Frame structure type provided in the standard LDI_Exp_Grid_2013.xlsx exposure file. Report the expected loss estimates for building coverage only, and record the result on CD-ROM using the format of **Exhibit W**.

Note: Modeled "actual" terrain is the surface roughness utilized in the vendor model. Modeled "open" terrain corresponds to utilizing a constant roughness value of 0.03 meters at all locations.

EXHIBITS

(Exhibits referenced in PART B)

This page intentionally left blank

EXHIBIT A

Profile of Key Technical Staff and Specific Contributions to Model Development (Duplicate as needed; provide no more than three key staff contributors for each component.)

NAME:				
TITLE:				
YEARS EXPERI	ENCE WITH CATAS	STROPHE MODE	EL DEVELOPMENT:	
CURRENT REL	EASE REFERENCE:			
EDUCATION: _				
	PONENT(S) WORKE			
□□ □ Hazard	□ Vulnerability	☐ Financial	☐ SW Development	☐ Other (specify)
SPECIFIC CONT	ΓRIBUTION:			
SIGNATURE:			DATE:	

EXHIBIT B

Contact Information for Key Technical Staff Making Contributions to Each Component: (Provide information on no more than three key staff contributors per component.)

nzard Compo ame	Title	Email	Phone Number
ulnerability C	<u>Component</u>		
Name	Title	Email	Phone Numbe
	Donent Title	Email	Phone Number
		Email	Phone Number
Name	Title	Email	Phone Number
Name	opment Component		
Software Devel	Title	Email	
Name	opment Component		
Name Software Devel	opment Component		
Software Develo	opment Component		
oftware Develo	opment Component		Phone Number Phone Number

EXHIBIT C

Historical Release and Revision Summary

DATE	RELEASE REFERENCE	BRIEF DESCRIPTION

EXHIBIT D

Profile of Independent Experts and Peer Reviews

Name and Qualifications of Peer Reviewer	Year and Release Reference	Component(s) Reviewed	How Many Hours Were Spent By the Peer Reviewer:		
			On Site	Total	

EXHIBIT E

Data Sources and Assumptions Underlying the Model Variables

Model Variable	Component	Description of (Changes in) Assumptions and Supporting Data Sources

EXHIBIT F

Landfall Parameters for Historical Storm Used in Model Development

Historical Sto	rm Description		Parameters at Landfall						
Name	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Coordinates (Decimal Degrees)	Central Pressure (mb)	Max Sustained 1-Min Wind Speed Over Land (mph)	Rmax (miles)	Forward Speed (mph)	Sources (Separately Identify Source for Each Parameter)		

EXHIBIT G

Comparison of Modeled Annual Frequencies to Historical Frequencies by Region

Saffir-Simpson Classification											
	5		4	1	3		2		1		Total
	Modeled Frequency	Historical Frequency									
100 miles west of Louisiana											
Western Louisiana											
Eastern Louisiana											
Total Louisiana											
100 miles east of Louisiana											
TOTAL											

NOTES:

- Use four decimals for displayed probabilities, e.g., .0219.
- Western Louisiana is defined as Cameron, Vermillion, Iberia, and St. Mary Parishes. Eastern Louisiana is defined as Terrebonne, Lafourche, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, and St. Tammany Parishes.
- For the purposes of this analysis, the regions are defined by the following bounding coordinates (all coordinates are displayed in decimal form):

 100 miles west of Louisiana:
 (28.963; -95.274), (29.688; -93.837)

 Western Louisiana:
 (29.688; -93.837), (29.586; -91.216)

 Eastern Louisiana:
 (29.586; -91.216), (30.186; -89.527)

 Total Louisiana:
 (29.688; -93.837), (30.186; -89.527)

 100 miles east of Louisiana:
 (30.186; -89.527), (30.228; -87.856)

EXHIBIT H

Central Pressures at Louisiana Landfall

	Cor	unts	Annual Frequency		
Central Pressure	Historical	Modeled	Historical	Modeled	
000 – 890 mb					
891 – 900 mb					
901 – 910 mb					
911 – 920 mb					
921 – 930 mb					
931 – 940 mb					
941 – 950 mb					
951 – 960 mb					
961 – 970 mb					
971 – 980 mb					
981+ mb					
TOTAL					

EXHIBIT I

Radius of Maximum Winds at Louisiana Landfall

	Cou	ints	Annual Frequency		
Radius	Historical	Modeled	Historical	Modeled	
0 – 10 Miles					
11 – 20 Miles					
21 – 30 Miles					
31 – 40 Miles					
41 – 50 Miles					
51 – 60 Miles					
61 – 70 Miles					
71+ Miles					
TOTAL					

EXHIBIT J

Forward Speed at Louisiana Landfall

_	C	ounts	Annual Frequency		
Speed	Historical	Modeled	Historical	Modeled	
0 – 5 mph					
5.1 – 7.5 mph					
7.6 – 10.0 mph					
10.1 – 12.5 mph					
12.6 – 15.0 mph					
15.1 – 17.5 mph					
17.6 – 20.0 mph					
20.1 – 25.0 mph					
25.1 – 30.0 mph					
30.1+ mph					
TOTAL					

EXHIBIT K

Max Wind Speed at Louisiana Landfall

	Cou	nts	Annual F	requency
Max Wind Speed Over Land	Historical	Modeled	Historical	Modeled
71 – 80 mph				
81 – 90 mph				
91 – 100 mph				
101 – 110 mph				
111 – 120 mph				
121 – 130 mph				
131 – 140 mph				
141 – 150 mph				
151 – 160 mph				
161 – 170 mph				
171+ mph				
TOTAL				

EXHIBIT L

Decay Rate Over Constant Average Land Roughness

	Mean D	ecay Rate	10 th Percent	ile Decay Rate	90 th Percenti	le Decay Rate
Elapsed	Maximum	Percent of	Maximum	Percent of	Maximum	Percent of
Time	Wind Speed	Wind Speed at	Wind Speed	Wind Speed at	Wind Speed	Wind Speed at
	(MPH)	Landfall	(MPH)	Landfall	(MPH)	Landfall
Landfall						
2 Hours						
4 Hours						
6 Hours						
8 Hours						
10 Hours						
12 Hours						
14 Hours						
16 Hours						
18 Hours						
20 Hours						
22 Hours						
24 Hours						
26 Hours						
28 Hours						
30 Hours						
32 Hours						
34 Hours						
36 Hours						
38 Hours						
40 Hours						
42 Hours						
44 Hours						
46 Hours						
48 Hours						

EXHIBIT M

Wind Speed Output at the ZIP Centroid for Both Open Terrain and Modeled Actual Terrain

	100 Year Return Period Winds From Stochastic Model						
Zip Code	Modeled Open Terrain	Modeled Actual Terrain					
		_					

NOTES: Report all wind speeds as the peak 1-min, 10-meter sustained wind speed.

Modeled "actual" terrain is the surface roughness utilized in the vendor model.

Modeled "open" terrain corresponds to utilizing a constant roughness value of 0.03 meters at all locations.

EXHIBIT N

Mean Damage Ratios for Hypothetical Storm

	Estimated Ground-Up Damage / Subject Exposure							
Wind speed (mph)	Single Family Home – Wood Frame	Single Family Home – Masonry	Mobile Home	Commercial Residential – Masonry				
41 – 50								
51 – 60								
61 – 70								
71 – 80								
81 – 90								
91 – 100								
101 – 110								
111 – 120								
121 – 130								
131 – 140								
141 – 150								
151 – 160								
161 – 170								

EXHIBIT O, TABLE 1

Impact of Mitigation Features

			Percen			Change in Ground-Up Expected Loss					
			tion A	Locat	tion B	Locat	tion C	Locat	ion D	Loca	tion E
		SFH Wood Frame	SFH Masonry	SFH Wood Frame	SFH Masonry	SFH Wood Frame	SFH Masonry	SFH Wood Frame	SFH Masonry	SFH Wood Frame	SFH Masonry
	Reference Structure										
Identify the Three Mitigation Modifiers that Have the Most Impact in Louisiana											
Year of Construction (Indicate each year- built bin available in the model for LA)											
IBHS	Fortified for Safer Living Home										
Mitigation Measures in Combination That Produce Largest Reduction	Mitigated Structure										

EXHIBIT O, TABLE 2

Reference Structure Location

	Latitude	Longitude
Location A	29.54	-92.31
Location B	29.55	-92.31
Location C	29.68	-92.31
Location D	30.30	-92.31
Location E	31.05	-92.31

EXHIBIT P

Impact of Adjusting Model Settings

Can Model				Statewide Zero	Deductible Loss	
Setting	Attribute	Settings	A A I	Exc	eedance Probabi	ility
Be Altered?			AAL	5%	2%	1%
	Demand Surge (i.e.,	ON				
	Loss Amplification)	OFF				
	Storm Surge	ON				
	Storm Surge	OFF				
		10K				
	Catalog Size	50K				
	Catalog Size	100K				
		Other:				
	Loss Adjustment	ON				
	Expenses	OFF				
		NEAR TERM				
	Freq Rates	MEDIUM TERM				
		LONG TERM				
		Other:				

EXHIBIT Q.1

Homeowners Frame Modeled Loss Costs

ZIP	\$0 Deductible Structure	\$0 Deductible Contents	\$0 Deductible Time	\$500 Deductible Total	\$1000 Deductible Total	\$2500 Deductible Total	1% Deductible Total	2% Deductible Total	5% Deductible Total
Parish Weighted									
Average									
Louisiana Weighted Total									

EXHIBIT Q.2

Homeowners Masonry Modeled Loss Costs

ZIP	\$0 Deductible Structure	\$0 Deductible Contents	\$0 Deductible Time	\$500 Deductible Total	\$1000 Deductible Total	\$2500 Deductible Total	1% Deductible Total	2% Deductible Total	5% Deductible Total
Parish									
Weighted									
Average									
Louisiana Weighted Total									

EXHIBIT Q.3

Renters Frame Modeled Loss Costs

ZIP	\$0 Deductible Structure	\$0 Deductible Contents	\$0 Deductible Time	\$500 Deductible Total	\$1000 Deductible Total	\$2500 Deductible Total	1% Deductible Total	2% Deductible Total	5% Deductible Total
Parish									
Weighted Average									
Louisiana Weighted Total									

EXHIBIT Q.4

Renters Masonry Modeled Loss Costs

ZIP	\$0 Deductible Structure	\$0 Deductible Contents	\$0 Deductible Time	\$500 Deductible Total	\$1000 Deductible Total	\$2500 Deductible Total	1% Deductible Total	2% Deductible Total	5% Deductible Total
Parish									
Weighted									
Average									
Louisiana Weighted									
Total									

EXHIBIT Q.5

Condominium Frame Modeled Loss Costs

ZIP	\$0 Deductible Structure	\$0 Deductible Contents	\$0 Deductible Time	\$500 Deductible Total	\$1000 Deductible Total	\$2500 Deductible Total	1% Deductible Total	2% Deductible Total	5% Deductible Total
Parish Weighted Average									
Louisiana Weighted Total									

EXHIBIT Q.6

Condominium Masonry Modeled Loss Costs

ZIP	\$0 Deductible Structure	\$0 Deductible Contents	\$0 Deductible Time	\$500 Deductible Total	\$1000 Deductible Total	\$2500 Deductible Total	1% Deductible Total	2% Deductible Total	5% Deductible Total
Parish Weighted									
Average									
Louisiana Weighted Total									

EXHIBIT Q.7

Mobile Home Modeled Loss Costs

ZIP	\$0 Deductible Structure	\$0 Deductible Contents	\$0 Deductible Time	\$500 Deductible Total	\$1000 Deductible Total	\$2500 Deductible Total	1% Deductible Total	2% Deductible Total	5% Deductible Total
Dorich									
Parish Weighted									
Average									
Louisiana									
Weighted Total									

EXHIBIT Q.8

Commercial Residential Modeled Loss Costs

ZIP	\$0 Deductible Structure	\$0 Deductible Contents	\$0 Deductible Time	\$500 Deductible Total	\$1000 Deductible Total	\$2500 Deductible Total	1% Deductible Total	2% Deductible Total	5% Deductible Total
Parish Weighted									
Average									
Louisiana Weighted Total									

EXHIBIT R

Historical Hurricanes 1900-2012

Instructions: Below is a listing of a standard historical event set for storms making landfall from 1900 to 2012 in Louisiana. Provide modeled property loss estimates for the hurricanes listed using the LDI standard exposure data provided in the file LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx. For

each hurricane listed, report gross losses for all coverages combined on Exhibit S and Exhibit T. Report in hardcopy and on CD-ROM. Assume a 2% deductible applies for

each risk.

Year	Name
1901	NoName4
1909	NoName8
1915	NoName5
1920	NoName2
1923	NoName3
1926	NoName3
1934	NoName2
1938	NoName2
1947	NoName4
1948	NoName5
1957	Audrey
1964	Hilda
1965	Betsy
1971	Edith
1974	Carmen
1979	Bob
1985	Danny
1985	Juan
1988	Florence
1992	Andrew
2002	Lili
2005	Cindy
2005	Katrina
2005	Rita
2008	Gustav
2012	Isaac

EXHIBIT S

Historical Event Losses by Zip Code

For each event Report the Gross Losses (all coverages combined) in the following format:

Zip Code	Personal Residential*	Commercial	Total
Louisiana Total			

NOTES:

^{* &}quot;Personal Residential" is defined as Homeowners, Condominium, Renter, and Mobile Home risks.

[&]quot;Commercial" is defined as all risks other than personal residential.

EXHIBIT T

Historical Event Losses by Event

Year	Historical Event	НО	Condo	Renter	Mobile Home	Commercial	Total

EXHIBIT U

Table 1 and Table 2

Louisiana Probable Maximum Loss and Statistics

(Estimates based on the LDI standard database exposures)

Table 1 (Assume a 2% hurricane deductible for each exposure)

Return Time	Louisiana Estimated Loss		
(Years)	Annual	Annual	
(Tears)	Aggregate	Occurrence	
Top Event			
10,000			
5,000			
1,000			
500			
250			
100			
50			
20			
5			
Mean			
Median			

Table 2 (Assume the hurricane deductible listed)

Hurricane Deductible	Estimated Mean Loss Elimination Ratio
NONE	0
\$250	
\$500	
\$1,000	
1% Coverage A	
2% Coverage A	
5% Coverage A	

EXHIBIT V

Impact of Model Update By Component

Instructions: Identify which model components have been changed since the previous submission. Quantify the impact of the change by component on the standard LDI exposure set LDI_Exp_2013.xlsx. Provide loss costs in the table below for HO frame, no hurricane deductible.

Previous Model Version (filed with the LDI):	
Current Model Version (filed with the LDI):	

Updated?	Model		Percent Difference in Average Annual Zero Deductible HO Frame Loss Cost		
(Check box if "Yes")	Component	Model Version	Statewide Loss Cost or Percent Difference	Parish with Largest Increase	Parish with Largest Decrease
		Previous Model	\$	Parish:	Parish:
	Zip Codes	Current Model	\$		
		Percent Difference	%	Percentage Increase:%	Percentage Decrease:
	u	Previous Model	\$	Parish:	Parish:
	Hazard Module	Current Model	\$		
	woddic	Percent Difference	%	Percentage Increase:%	Percentage Decrease:
	V 1 1.219	Previous Model	\$	Parish:	Parish:
	Vulnerability Module	Current Model	\$		
	Module	Percent Difference	%	Percentage Increase:	Percentage Decrease:
	et tal	Previous Model	\$	Parish:	Parish:
	Financial Module	Current Model	\$		
	Wioddic	Percent Difference	%	Percentage Increase:%	Percentage Decrease:
	Communication	Previous Model	\$	Parish:	Parish:
	Cumulative Impact	Current Model	\$		
	mpact	Percent Difference	%	Percentage Increase:%	Percentage Decrease:

EXHIBIT W

Impact of Terrain Factors on Grid Location Expected Loss

Grid	Expected Loss	
Point	Modeled Open Terrain	Modeled Actual Terrain

Notes: Modeled "actual" terrain is the surface roughness utilized in the vendor model.

Modeled "open" terrain corresponds to utilizing a constant roughness value of 0.03 meters at all locations.

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX A

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX A

ELECTRONIC FORMAT SPECIFICATION

Data requested on all exhibits may be provided in hard copy but **must** also be provided in electronic format as defined in this Appendix (unless noted).

Data should be provided on a CD-ROM or DVD-ROM. The requested file format is Excel spreadsheet file format as defined in the following pages. The LDI requests that Excel 2010 or later be used to report interrogatory data electronically though Excel 2007 is an acceptable version; versions of Excel earlier than 2007 are not acceptable.

Data for each exhibit should be labeled as follows:

INTERROGATORY EXHIBIT	MS EXCEL FILE NAME	MS EXCEL WORKSHEET
N/A	LDI_INT_2013.xlsx	Transmittal Form
A	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_A
В	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_B
С	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_C
D	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_D
Е	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_E
F	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_F
G	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_G
Н	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_H
I	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_I
J	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_J
K	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_K
L	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_L
M	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_M
N	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_N
0	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_O
P	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_P
Q.1 though Q.8	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_Q.1 through Ex_Q.8
R	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_R
S	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_S
T	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_T
U	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_U
V	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_V
W	(e.g. LDI_INT_2013.xlsx)	Ex_W

APPENDIX A

ELECTRONIC FORMAT SPECIFICATION EXCEL FILE LAYOUT

Transmittal Form

Description	Data Type
Model Company	Character
Name of Model	Character
Release Reference	Character
Stochastic Catalog Ref	Character
Date (Month, Day, Year)	MM/DD/YYYY

Exhibit A

Provide hardcopy only; not required in Excel format/file.

Exhibit B

Provide hardcopy only; not required in Excel format/file.

Exhibit C

Provide hardcopy only; not required in Excel format/file.

Exhibit D

Provide hardcopy only; not required in Excel format/file.

Exhibit E

Provide hardcopy only; not required in Excel format/file.

APPENDIX A

ELECTRONIC FORMAT SPECIFICATION EXCEL FILE LAYOUT

Exhibit F

Description	Data Type
Name	Character
Date	MM/DD/YYYY
Coordinates	Character
Central Pressure	Numeric
Max Sustained 1-Min Wind Speed Over Land	Numeric
Rmax	Numeric
Forward Speed	Numeric
Sources	Character

Exhibit G

Description	Data Type
Modeled Frequency	Numeric
Historical Frequency	Numeric
Total	Numeric

Exhibit H

Description	Data Type
Historical	Numeric
Modeled	Numeric

Exhibit I

Description	Data Type
Historical	Numeric
Modeled	Numeric

APPENDIX A

ELECTRONIC FORMAT SPECIFICATION EXCEL FILE LAYOUT

Exhibit J

Description	Data Type
Historical	Numeric
Modeled	Numeric

Exhibit K

Description	Data Type
Historical	Numeric
Modeled	Numeric

Exhibit L

Description	Data Type
Maximum Wind Speed (MPH)	Numeric
Percent of Wind Speed at LF	Numeric

Exhibit M

Description	Data Type
Zip Code	Numeric
Modeled Open Terrain	Numeric
Modeled Actual Terrain	Numeric

Exhibit N

Description	Data Type
Single Family Home - Wood Frame	Numeric
Single Family Home - Masonry	Numeric
Mobile Home	Numeric
Commercial Residential - Masonry	Numeric

APPENDIX A

ELECTRONIC FORMAT SPECIFICATION EXCEL FILE LAYOUT

Exhibit O, Table 1

Description	Data Type
SFH Wood Frame	Numeric
SFH Masonry	Numeric

Exhibit O, Table 2

This table is for reference only

Exhibit P

Description	Data Type
Can Be Altered?	Check
AAL	Numeric
5%	Numeric
2%	Numeric
1%	Numeric

Exhibit Q.1 through Q.8

Description	Data Type
ZIP	Numeric
\$0 Deductible Structure	Numeric
\$0 Deductible Contents	Numeric
\$0 Deductible Time	Numeric
\$500 Deductible Total	Numeric
\$1000 Deductible Total	Numeric
\$2500 Deductible Total	Numeric
1% Deductible Total	Numeric
2% Deductible Total	Numeric
5% Deductible Total	Numeric

APPENDIX A

ELECTRONIC FORMAT SPECIFICATION EXCEL FILE LAYOUT

Exhibit R

Provide hardcopy only; not required in Excel format/file.

Exhibit S

Description	Data Type
Zip Code	Numeric
Personal Residential	Numeric
Commercial	Numeric
Total	Numeric

Exhibit T

Description	Data Type
Year	Numeric
Historical Event	Character
НО	Numeric
Condo	Numeric
Renter	Numeric
Mobile Home	Numeric
Commercial	Numeric
Total	Numeric

Exhibit U, Table 1

Description	Data Type
Annual Aggregate	Numeric
Annual Occurrence	Numeric

Exhibit U, Table 2

Description	Data Type
Estimated Mean Loss Elimination Ratio	Numeric

APPENDIX A

ELECTRONIC FORMAT SPECIFICATION EXCEL FILE LAYOUT

Exhibit V

Description	Data Type
Statewide Impact	Numeric
Parish w/ Largest Increase (Name & Pct)	Character, Numeric
Parish w/ Largest Decrease (Name & Pct)	Character, Numeric

^{*}For Exhibit V, hardcopy alone will suffice though Excel format is also acceptable.

Exhibit W

Description	Data Type
Grid Point	Numeric
Modeled Open Terrain	Numeric
Modeled Actual Terrain	Numeric